<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>General/DV</th>
<th>McKinney-Vento: YHDP</th>
<th>McKinney-Vento: YHDP Renewals</th>
<th>Funding Round</th>
<th>Grant Number</th>
<th>CoC Amount</th>
<th>Awarded Last Operating Year</th>
<th>CoC Amount</th>
<th>Expended Last Operating Year</th>
<th>CoC Funding Requested</th>
<th>Geo Code</th>
<th>All Fam Beds</th>
<th>DV Fam Beds</th>
<th>CH Fam Beds</th>
<th>Vet Fam Beds</th>
<th>Par Youth Beds</th>
<th>Beds HH w/o Children</th>
<th>Beds HH w/ only Children</th>
<th>All Ind Beds</th>
<th>DV Ind Beds</th>
<th>CH Beds HH w/o Children</th>
<th>CH Beds HH w/ only Children</th>
<th>Total CH Ind Beds</th>
<th>Veteran Bed Inventory</th>
<th>Youth Bed Inventory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ABOUT THE CoC PROGRAM RATING & RANKING TOOL

ABOUT THE TOOL

HUD is providing this Rating and Ranking Tool to help CoCs design and implement a comprehensive annual CoC competition application review process. It has several customization features so you can choose the rating factors that are most relevant to your CoC and the priorities your CoC has adopted to inform system (re)design.

DISCLAIMER: HUD is explicitly stating that use of this tool is optional, is not being promoted over other tools CoCs currently use, and does not guarantee:

- additional points in the Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Program (CoC) Competition;
- CoC applications will be consistent with all NOFO requirements; and
- HUD will award CoCs with full points.

The tool provides a strong framework for implementing a data-driven rating process and a ranking process informed by system priorities and capacity analysis (if available) and it satisfies the objective criteria requirement in the FY 2023 CoC Program NOFO. HUD strongly encourages CoCs to read the CoC Program NOFO carefully to determine if there are new opportunities, priorities, or expectations that your CoC might need to assess outside this tool. The Priority Listing is the official project ranking record for the CoC Program NOFO. HUD is not requiring CoCs to use this tool, nor is it preferred over other rating tools or processes, use of the tool does not guarantee additional points on the CoC Program application. HUD has made this tool available to CoCs for use in their year-round NOFO planning process. Feedback on the tool is welcome.

Microsoft Excel 2003 or higher is required when using this tool. When opening the tool workbook, you might need to click "Enable Content", "Enable Editing", and/or "Enable Macros" buttons in the yellow bar at the top of your screen. This is necessary for the macros and formulas to run correctly within the spreadsheet. If you get an error message, please check to see if the yellow bar is present and click these buttons before attempting to use the tool further. If you encounter a bug while using the tool, click "End" in the error pop-up, then navigate back to this tab and click the "Turn On Macros" button in the top right corner to ensure the underlying code is not disrupted before resuming. CoCs can submit technical questions about the Rating and Ranking Tool, including requesting help with bugs in the Tool, through the esnaps competition AAQ desk.

Due to the complexity of the tool, problems might occur when multiple Excel files are open at the same time. To help alleviate this problem, you should close all other Excel files on your computer before running the following parts of the tool:

- Generating list of projects from Raw HIC data
- Populating rating results
- Generating project ranking

Users who get the red Security Risk bar when they open the tool will need to speak with their IT departments about their policies regarding macro-enabled Excel workbooks and how they can be reenabled for the Rating and Ranking process.

For further instructions, see the Instructions Document on HUD’s website.

MIGRATING DATA TO NEWER VERSIONS OF THE TOOL

The version of the CoC Program Rating and Ranking Tool created for the FY23 CoC Program NOFO (Version 7.0 and higher) contains updates to the HIC and changes to how available funding in the NOFO is entered in the tool on the ‘FUNDING CEILINGS + PRIORITIES’ tab. CoCs are strongly encouraged to use the latest version for the FY23 CoC NOFO process. The Tool has been updated to reflect the FY23 NOFO to the greatest extent possible. CoCs are responsible for verifying that the rating and ranking process they use is consistent with the NOFO.

If a CoC has started using an earlier version of the Tool, the tool can be "upgraded" using the new Update Tool feature (see PROCESS FOR MIGRATING DATA USING UPDATE TOOL FEATURE). The feature will pull in all the CoC’s entered data and specified criteria from the old version of the tool into the latest version of the tool, including data from all tabs up to the ‘FUNDING ANALYSIS + RANKING’ tab. The resulting file will have the same name as the old version of the tool, plus a "_FIXED" suffix at the end.

If a CoC decides to use earlier versions of the tool designed for the FY21 NOFO process, they should review the HUD threshold requirements in the ‘RENEW. + EXP. THRESHOLD’ and ‘NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD’ tabs and the NOFO information in the “General Funding Information” and “HUD CoC Program NOFO Opportunities” sections of the ‘FUNDING CEILINGS + PRIORITIES’ tab carefully to take into account any changes in HUD requirements or NOFO opportunities in the FY23 CoC NOFO.

PROCESS FOR MIGRATING DATA USING UPDATE TOOL FEATURE

1. In the latest tool downloaded from the HUD Exchange page, navigate to the ‘ABOUT THE TOOL’ tab.
2. Click the “Pull in Old Tool Data” button in the top-right corner.
3. Follow the prompts to select your out-of-date tool with the data in it.
4. Wait up to 5 minutes for the tool to pull in all entered data and specified criteria. A pop-up will confirm the update is complete.
General-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.

25 points

DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk'

10 points

RRH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing

10 points

PSH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in

5 points

TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing

8 points

TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers

75 points

TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability

25 points

Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally

25 points

RRH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing

2.5 points

TH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type

5 points

TH (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days

5 points

PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry

25 points

TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation

10 points

RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers

2.5 points

P SH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in

5 points

TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability

25 points

DV-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.

2.5 points

New project has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies

No

CoC benchmarks

Yes

That do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes

Yes

See the Data Source Chart for information about where to obtain data to use in scoring.

Yes

Project Effectiveness

Financial

Design of Housing & Supportive Services

Performance Measures

Factor/Goal

50 points

10 points

20

Select special popul

25 points

10 points

5 points

20

50

25

5 points

10 points

TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry

95%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Project Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. CoC Program Eligibility</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Financial and Management Capacity</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Certifications</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Population Served</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Population Served</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Population Served</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. HMIS Participation</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Population Served</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Population Served</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Pre-selection Review of Performance</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Sufficiency of Financial Management System</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Evidence of Financial Management System</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Mandate for Financial Management System</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Equal Participation of Faith-Based Organizations</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CoC or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select “No”.
### RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

**NEW or INCREASED INCOME AND EARNED INCOME**
- Length of Stay
- Exits to Permanent Housing
- Returns to Homelessness

**AGENCY LEADERSHIP, GOVERNANCE, AND POLICIES**
- Recipient Management & Leadership Positions BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation
- Recipient Board of Directors BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation
- Process for receiving & incorporating feedback Process includes persons with lived experience
- Internal Policies and Procedures Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers

**PROGRAM PARTICIPANT OUTCOMES**
- Outcomes with an equity lens Data disaggregated by underserved populations
- Program changes for equitable outcomes Plan to create more equitable program outcomes
- HMIS data review with equity lens Plan to review disaggregated data

**PERFORMANCE MEASURES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERFORMANCE FACTOR</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE GOAL</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE</th>
<th>POINTS AWARDED</th>
<th>MAX POINT VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of Stay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exits to Permanent Housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Returns to Homelessness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERFORMANCE FACTOR</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE GOAL</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE</th>
<th>POINTS AWARDED</th>
<th>MAX POINT VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serve High Need Populations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERFORMANCE FACTOR</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE GOAL</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE</th>
<th>POINTS AWARDED</th>
<th>MAX POINT VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CoC Monitoring Score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERFORMANCE FACTOR</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE GOAL</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE</th>
<th>POINTS AWARDED</th>
<th>MAX POINT VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CoC Monitoring Score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL SCORE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERFORMANCE FACTOR</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE GOAL</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE</th>
<th>POINTS AWARDED</th>
<th>MAX POINT VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

- CoC funding requested
- Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)
- CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year
- CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year
- Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year
CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

1. Resolution of Civil Rights Matters – Outstanding civil rights matters be resolved before the application submission deadline. Project applicants, who after review are confirmed to have civil rights matters inconsistent with the respective program authorizing statute.

2. Pre-selection Review of Performance – If your organization has delinquent federal debt or is excluded from doing business with the Federal government, the organization may be ineligible for an award. In addition, before making a Federal award, HUD will review information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as the Excluded Parties List System (EPLS) and System for Award Management (SAM).

3. Sufficiency of Financial Management System – HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets Federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302.

4. Applicant has no debarments and/or suspensions – In accordance with 2 CFR 24.3, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended.

5. Documentation requirements – Documented, secured minimum matches needed to meet the requirements of 24 CFR 200.32(a)(1). These regulations apply to all HUD programs and activities, including all of HUD’s Native American Programs, except as may be otherwise provided in the respective program regulations, or unless consistent with E.O. 13559, entitled Fundamental Principles and Policymaking Criteria for Partnerships with Faith-Based and Other Neighborhood Organizations (75 Fed. Reg. 71319 (Nov. 22, 2010)). (See 81 FR 200—Award Term and Condition for Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters are required to report certain civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings to SAM. Failure to make required disclosures can result in disbarment or suspension of the recipient.


7. Pre-selection Review of Performance – If your organization has delinquent federal debt or is excluded from doing business with the Federal government, the organization may be ineligible for an award. In addition, before making a Federal award, HUD will review information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as the Excluded Parties List System (EPLS) and System for Award Management (SAM).

8. Applicant has no outstanding federal debt in a civil or criminal proceeding under 24 CFR 200.700, that is, outstanding federal debt means that the debtor owes an amount that has not been fully discharged by bankruptcy or other means.

9. Applicant has no outstanding federal debt in a civil or criminal proceeding under 24 CFR 200.700, that is, outstanding federal debt means that the debtor owes an amount that has not been fully discharged by bankruptcy or other means.

10. Pre-selection Review of Performance – If your organization has delinquent federal debt or is excluded from doing business with the Federal government, the organization may be ineligible for an award. In addition, before making a Federal award, HUD will review information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as the Excluded Parties List System (EPLS) and System for Award Management (SAM).

11. Sufficiency of Financial Management System – HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets Federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302.

12. Applicant has no outstanding federal debt in a civil or criminal proceeding under 24 CFR 200.700, that is, outstanding federal debt means that the debtor owes an amount that has not been fully discharged by bankruptcy or other means.

13. Pre-selection Review of Performance – If your organization has delinquent federal debt or is excluded from doing business with the Federal government, the organization may be ineligible for an award. In addition, before making a Federal award, HUD will review information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as the Excluded Parties List System (EPLS) and System for Award Management (SAM).

14. Applicant has no outstanding federal debt in a civil or criminal proceeding under 24 CFR 200.700, that is, outstanding federal debt means that the debtor owes an amount that has not been fully discharged by bankruptcy or other means.

15. Pre-selection Review of Performance – If your organization has delinquent federal debt or is excluded from doing business with the Federal government, the organization may be ineligible for an award. In addition, before making a Federal award, HUD will review information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as the Excluded Parties List System (EPLS) and System for Award Management (SAM).

16. Sufficiency of Financial Management System – HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets Federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302.

17. Applicant has no outstanding federal debt in a civil or criminal proceeding under 24 CFR 200.700, that is, outstanding federal debt means that the debtor owes an amount that has not been fully discharged by bankruptcy or other means.

18. Pre-selection Review of Performance – If your organization has delinquent federal debt or is excluded from doing business with the Federal government, the organization may be ineligible for an award. In addition, before making a Federal award, HUD will review information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as the Excluded Parties List System (EPLS) and System for Award Management (SAM).

19. Sufficiency of Financial Management System – HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets Federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302.
## NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

### Rating Complete

- **Experience Subtotal**: 0 out of 0
- **Design of Housing & Supportive Services Subtotal**: 0 out of 0
- **Timeliness Subtotal**: 0 out of 0
- **Financial Subtotal**: 0 out of 0
- **Project Effectiveness Subtotal**: 0 out of 0
- **Equity Factors Subtotal**: 0 out of 70
- **Other and Local Criteria Subtotal**: 0 out of 0
- **TOTAL SCORE**: 0 out of 70
- **Weighted Rating Score**: 0 out of 100

### Equity Factors

#### Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies
- **Recipient has under-represented individuals (BPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions**: 0 out of 10
- **Recipient’s board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of homelessness**: 0 out of 10
- **Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness**: 0 out of 10
- **Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers**: 0 out of 10

#### Program Participant Outcomes
- **Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations**: 0 out of 10
- **Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes**: 0 out of 10
- **Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or underserved populations**: 0 out of 10

### Other and Local Criteria

- **Recipient has under-represented individuals (BPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions**
- **Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations**
- **Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes**
- **Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or underserved populations**

### Project Financial Information

- **CoC funding requested**: $0
- **Other Public Funding (Federal, State, County, City)**: $0
- **Private Funding**: $0
- **TOTAL PROJECT COST**: $0

### Notes:
- Project Name: Print Blank Template Print Report Card
- Organization Name: 
- Project Type: 
- Project Identifier: 
- Saved!
### RATING TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>Grant Number</th>
<th>Renewal, New, Expansion, Reallocation</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>General</th>
<th>McKinney</th>
<th>YWHP</th>
<th>Met All HUD Threshold Requirements</th>
<th>Met All CoC Threshold Requirements</th>
<th>Weighted Rating Score (out of 100)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALTERNATIVE RATING TOOL

If you make any edits, make sure to save before moving on.

Yes to all threshold requirements
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID/Grant Number</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>General/ DV</th>
<th>YHDP Funding, Federal</th>
<th>All Fam Beds</th>
<th>DV Fam Beds</th>
<th>CH Fam Beds</th>
<th>Vet Fam Beds</th>
<th>Single Youth Beds</th>
<th>Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Fam (Yes/No)</th>
<th>Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Ind (Yes/No)</th>
<th>Is 100% DV (Yes/No)</th>
<th>CoC Funding Requested</th>
<th>Amount of Other Public (Federal, state, county, City)</th>
<th>Amount of Private Funding</th>
<th>CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year</th>
<th>Met All HUD Threshold Requirements</th>
<th>Met All CoC Threshold Requirements</th>
<th>Weighted Rating Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Make sure to save any rating you've done before running.*
FUNDING CEILINGS + PRIORITIES

GENERAL FUNDING INFORMATION

Annual Renewal Demand (ARD): CoC Bonus Funding: DV Bonus: YHDP:

Adjusted ARD: Tier 1 Funding: Tier 2 Funding: Total YHDP Round 2 or Later Renewals + Replacements

Adjusted ARD - YHDP Round 2 or Later

Adjusted ARD * 93%

Adjusted ARD * 7% + CoC Bonus + DV Bonus

FY2023 HUD CoC PROGRAM NOFO OPPORTUNITIES

Project Types to Consider for New PSH for 100% Dedicated PLUS or chronically homeless individuals

 New TH+RRH for families

 New RRH for individuals

 New HMIS

 New SSO coordinated entry

Project Types to Consider for New TH+RRH for families

 New RRH for individuals

 New HMIS

 New SSO coordinated entry

CoC Bonus/Reallocation:

 New PSH for 100% Dedicated PLUS or chronically homeless families

 New PSH for 100% Dedicated PLUS or chronically homeless individuals

 New RRH for individuals

 New RRH for families

DV Bonus Funding:

New RRH for families

New SSO coordinated entry

New TH+RRH for families

New TH+RRH for individuals

New TH+RRH

FUNDING CEILINGS AND PRIORITIES BY PROJECT TYPE AND POPULATION

For each project type/population combination, specify the maximum number of beds (renewal and new combined), maximum level of funding (ceiling), and relative priority. If beds or $ are left blank, then projects within that category will not be capped. If the table below is blank, then projects will be ranked solely based on their rating scores. The ranking list will be generated in the following order:

1) HMIS and non-DV bonus-funded SSO-coordinated entry projects will be listed first in Tier 1 because they are required elements of a CoC’s system. This does not mean that HUD is encouraging you to rank them first; rather you should set local policies on their relative priority and move them accordingly after the initial ranking is generated.

2) CoC Bonus/new DV bonus-funded projects will be ranked just like other projects, and will be highlighted in pink/gray formatting. You should set local policies on their relative priority and move them accordingly after the initial ranking is generated.

3) Projects in the high priority categories, listed in order of their rating score, up to the maximum number of beds or funding level specified for each project type/population.

4) Projects in the medium priority categories, listed in order of their rating score, up to the maximum number of beds or funding level specified for each project type/population.

5) Projects in the low priority categories, listed in order of their rating score, up to the maximum number of beds or funding level specified for each project type/population.

6) Projects with unspecified priority, listed in order of their rating score.

7) Other SSO grants.

Projects that exceed the beds or $ targets specified on the chart will be listed in the “Projects Not Selected for Funding” section of the FUNDING ANALYSIS + RANKING tab because they represent inventory above the needs of the system. The CoC NOFO Committee may want to solicit additional projects to fill project type and population targets that are not met for this CoC Program NOFO or subsequent NOFOs.

Instructions on Completing Funding Ceilings and Priorities

Total $ Need Specified Below:

All Families

PSH

$  

Priority

RRH

$  

Priority

TH

$  

Priority

TH+RRH

$  

Priority

DV Families

Chronically Homeless Families

Veteran Families

Parenting Youth

All Individuals

DV Individuals

Chronically Homeless Individuals

Veteran Individuals

Single Youth

10 of 12