

NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name: SAMPLE
 Organization Name: County of Chester
 Project Type: PSH (General)
 Project Identifier: 12

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
EXPERIENCE		
A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.	<input type="text"/>	out of 15
B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.	<input type="text"/>	out of 5
Experience Subtotal	0	30
DESIGN OF HOUSING & SUPPORTIVE SERVICES		
A. Extent to which the applicant 1. Demonstrate understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2. Demonstrate type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3. Demonstrate type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meet the needs of the clients to be served. 4. Demonstrate how clients will be assisted in obtaining and coordinating the provision of mainstream benefits 5. Establish performance measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable, and meet or exceed any established HUD, HEARTH or CoC benchmarks.	<input type="text"/>	out of 15
B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	<input type="text"/>	out of 5
C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	<input type="text"/>	out of 5
D. Project leverages housing resources with housing units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.	<input type="text"/>	out of 5
E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.	<input type="text"/>	out of 5
Design of Housing & Supportive Services Subtotal	0	35
TIMELINESS		
A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Timeliness Subtotal	0	10
FINANCIAL		
A. Project is cost-effective - comparing projected cost per person served to CoC average within project type.	<input type="text"/>	out of 5
B. Audit 1. Most recent audit found no exceptions to standard practices 2. Most recent audit identified agency as 'low risk' 3. Most recent audit indicates no findings	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	out of 5 out of 5 out of 5
C. Documented match amount	<input type="text"/>	out of 5
D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable	<input type="text"/>	out of 20
Financial Subtotal	0	45
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS		
Coordinated Entry Participation- 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text"/>	out of 5
Project Effectiveness Subtotal	0	5
EQUITY FACTORS		
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies		
Recipient has BIPOC individuals in managerial and leadership positions	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Recipient's board of directors includes representation from persons with lived experience	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Recipient has process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for updating policies that currently center white dominant culture	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Program Participant Outcomes		
Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Equity Factors Subtotal	0	70
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA		
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal	0	0
TOTAL SCORE	0	195
Weighted Rating Score		100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab	\$ 111,471
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ 111,471

NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Not showing the right factors? Make sure you've set up the project correctly on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

Project Name: SAMPLE
 Organization Name: County of Chester
 Project Type: RRH (DV)
 Project Identifier: 13

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
EXPERIENCE		
A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.	<input type="text"/>	out of 15
B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.	<input type="text"/>	out of 5
Experience Subtotal	0	out of 30
DESIGN OF HOUSING & SUPPORTIVE SERVICES		
A. Extent to which the applicant 1. Demonstrate understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2. Demonstrate type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3. Demonstrate type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meet the needs of the clients to be served. 4. Demonstrate how clients will be assisted in obtaining and coordinating the provision of mainstream benefits 5. Establish performance measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable, and meet or exceed any established HUD, HEARTH or CoC benchmarks.	<input type="text"/>	out of 15
B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	<input type="text"/>	out of 5
C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	<input type="text"/>	out of 5
D. Project leverages housing resources with housing units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.	<input type="text"/>	out of 5
E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.	<input type="text"/>	out of 5
Design of Housing & Supportive Services Subtotal	0	out of 35
TIMELINESS		
A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Timeliness Subtotal	0	out of 10
FINANCIAL		
A. Project is cost-effective - comparing projected cost per person served to CoC average within project type.	<input type="text"/>	out of 5
B. Audit 1. Most recent audit found no exceptions to standard practices 2. Most recent audit identified agency as 'low risk' 3. Most recent audit indicates no findings	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	out of 5 out of 5 out of 5
C. Documented match amount	<input type="text"/>	out of 5
D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable	<input type="text"/>	out of 20
Financial Subtotal	0	out of 45
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS		
Coordinated Entry Participation- 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text"/>	out of 5
Project Effectiveness Subtotal	0	out of 5
EQUITY FACTORS		
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies		
Recipient has BIPOC individuals in managerial and leadership positions	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Recipient's board of directors includes representation from persons with lived experience	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Recipient has process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for updating policies that currently center white dominant culture	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Program Participant Outcomes		
Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Equity Factors Subtotal	0	out of 70
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA		
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal	0	out of 0
TOTAL SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE	0	out of 195
Weighted Rating Score		
Weighted Rating Score		out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 334,412
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ 334,412

NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: _____	<i>Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list</i>
Organization Name: _____	
Project Type: _____	<i>If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.</i>
Project Identifier: _____	

New Projects Threshold Review Complete
0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
-------------------------------	---------------

For each threshold, select "Yes" if applicant has fulfilled the threshold requirement and is eligible to submit an application.

Stakeholders should NOT assume all requirements are fully addressed through this tool. CoC Program application requirements change periodically and annual NOFAs may provide more detailed guidance. The CoC collaborative

 Yes to all

HUD THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	
-----------------------------------	--

1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information, and maintains an active SAM registration annually.
2. Applicant has Valid DUNS/TIN/EIN number in application.
3. CoC Program Eligibility – Project applicants and potential subrecipients meet the eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in the Act and the Rule and provide evidence of eligibility required in the application (e.g., nonprofit documentation).
4. Financial and Management Capacity: Project applicants and subrecipients demonstrate the financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in the project application and the capacity to administer federal funds.
5. Certifications - Project applicants submit the required certifications specified in the NOFO.
6. Population Served - The population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, the Rule, and the NOFO.
7. HMIS Participation - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers use a comparable database that captures the required HMIS data in addition to meeting the needs of the local HMIS.
8. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts – It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds unless.
 - a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or
 - b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD
9. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions – In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal government.
10. Pre-selection Review of Performance - If your organization has delinquent federal debt or is excluded from doing business with the Federal government, the organization may be ineligible for an award. In addition, before making a Federal award, HUD reviews information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS), and the "Do Not Pay" website. HUD reserves the right to:
 - a) Deny funding, or with a renewal or continuing award, consider suspension or termination of an award immediately for cause;
 - b) Require the removal of any key individual from association with management or implementation of the award; and
 - c) Make provisions or revisions regarding the method of payment or financial reporting requirements
11. Sufficiency of Financial Management System - HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets Federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302. HUD may arrange for a survey of financial management systems for applicants selected for award who have not previously received Federal financial assistance, where HUD Program officials have reason to question whether a financial management system meets Federal standards, or for applicants considered high risk based on past performance or financial management findings.

NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: _____
 Organization Name: _____
 Project Type: _____
 Project Identifier: _____

Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list

If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.

New Projects
Threshold Review Complete

0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
------------------------	--------

12. False Statements - A false statement in an application is grounds for denial or termination of an award and may result in criminal, civil, and/or administrative sanctions, including fines, penalties, and imprisonment. Recipient or applicant confirms all statements are truthful.

13. Mandatory Disclosure Requirement - Recipients or applicants disclose in writing to the awarding program office at HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award within ten days after learning of the violation. Recipients that have received a Federal award including the term and condition outlined in Appendix XII to 2 CFR part 200—Award Term and Condition for Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters are required to report certain civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings to SAM. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in § 200.338 Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. (See also 2 CFR part 180, 31 U.S.C. 3321, and S.C. 2313.)

14. Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities - Applicants are subject to the provisions of Section 319 of Public Law 101-121, 31 U.S.C. 1352, (the Byrd Amendment), and 24 CFR part 87, which prohibit recipients of federal awards from using appropriated funds for lobbying the executive or legislative branches of the Federal government in connection with a Federal award. All applicants submit with their application the signed Certification Regarding Lobbying included in the Application download from Grants.gov. In addition, applicants disclose, using Standard Form LLL (SFLLL), "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," any funds, other than federally appropriated funds, that will be or have been used to influence federal employees, members of Congress, or congressional staff regarding specific awards. Federally-recognized Indian tribes and tribally designated housing entities (TDHEs) established by federally-recognized Indian tribes as a result of the exercise of the tribe's sovereign power are excluded from coverage of the Byrd Amendment, but state-recognized Indian tribes and TDHEs established only under state law shall comply with this requirement. Applicants submit the SFLLL if they have used or intend to use non-federal funds for lobbying activities.

15. Equal Participation of Faith-Based Organizations in HUD Programs and Activities – Projects ensure that all projects meet the requirements under 24 CFR 5.109. On April 4, 2016, HUD amended 24 CFR 5.109 consistent with E.O. 13559, entitled Fundamental Principles and Policymaking Criteria for Partnerships with Faith-Based and Other Neighborhood Organizations (75 Fed. Reg. 71319 (Nov. 22, 2010)). (See 81 FR 19355). These regulations apply to all HUD programs and activities, including all of HUD's Native American Programs, except as may be otherwise provided in the respective program regulations, or unless inconsistent with the respective program authorizing statute.

16. Resolution of Civil Rights Matters - Outstanding civil rights matters be resolved before the application submission deadline. Project applicants, who after review are confirmed to have civil rights matters unresolved at the application submission deadline, will be deemed ineligible. Their applications will receive no further review, will not be rated and ranked, and will not receive funding.

CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

For each requirement, select "Yes" if the project has provided reasonable assurances that the project will meet the requirement, has been given an exception by the CoC or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select "No".

Coordinated Entry Participation	<input style="width: 100%; height: 100%; background-color: #d9ead3;" type="checkbox"/>
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	<input style="width: 100%; height: 100%; background-color: #d9ead3;" type="checkbox"/>
Documented, secured minimum match	<input style="width: 100%; height: 100%; background-color: #d9ead3;" type="checkbox"/>
Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally	<input style="width: 100%; height: 100%; background-color: #d9ead3;" type="checkbox"/>
Project is financially feasible	<input style="width: 100%; height: 100%; background-color: #d9ead3;" type="checkbox"/>
Applicant is active CoC participant	<input style="width: 100%; height: 100%; background-color: #d9ead3;" type="checkbox"/>
Application is complete and data are consistent	<input style="width: 100%; height: 100%; background-color: #d9ead3;" type="checkbox"/>
Data quality at or above 90%	<input style="width: 100%; height: 100%; background-color: #d9ead3;" type="checkbox"/>
Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%	<input style="width: 100%; height: 100%; background-color: #d9ead3;" type="checkbox"/>
Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	<input style="width: 100%; height: 100%; background-color: #d9ead3;" type="checkbox"/>

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: SAMPLE
 Organization Name: SAMPLE
 Project Type: PSH (General)
 Project Identifier: 6

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
Length of Stay				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 60 days after referral to PSH	<input type="text"/> days	<input type="text"/> out of	20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	90% remain in or move to PH	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	25
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 8% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	25
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Performance Measures Subtotal			0 out of	70
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 60% of participants with zero income at entry	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 45% of participants with more than one disability type	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	5
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 30% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			0 out of	25
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	15
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 100% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	20
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	20
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			0 out of	55
EQUITY FACTORS				
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Program Participant Outcomes				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by race, ethnicity, etc.	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
HMIS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Equity Factors Subtotal			0 out of	70
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
PSH (General) - Project is operating within CoC	Yes	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
PSH (General) - Demonstrated Effectiveness of cross	Yes	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
PSH (General) - Previous grant spent 95% or greater	Yes	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	5
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			0 out of	35
TOTAL SCORE			0 out of	255
Weighted Rating Score				100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION			
CoC funding requested	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$	XXXXXX
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)			
Amount of private funding			
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$	XXXX
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$	
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$	
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year			100%

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: SAMPLE
 Organization Name: SAMPLE
 Project Type: RRH (General)
 Project Identifier: 4

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
Length of Stay				
Rapid Re-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 75 days after referral to RRH	<input type="text"/> days	<input type="text"/> out of	15
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Rapid Re-Housing	75% move to PH	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	30
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 8% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	25
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Performance Measures Subtotal			0	out of 70
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS				
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 30% of participants with zero income at entry	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	5
Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 15% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	5
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			0	out of 10
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	15
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 100% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	20
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	20
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			0	out of 55
EQUITY FACTORS				
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Program Participant Outcomes				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by race, ethnicity, etc.	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
HMIS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Equity Factors Subtotal			0	out of 70
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
RRH (General) - Project is Operating within CoC	Yes	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
RRH (General) - Demonstrated Effectiveness of	Yes	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
RRH (General) - Previous grant spent 95% or greater	Yes	N/A	0 out of	5
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			0	out of 35
TOTAL SCORE			0	out of 240
Weighted Rating Score				out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ XXXXXX
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		<input type="text"/>
Amount of private funding		<input type="text"/>
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ XXXXXX
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		100%

RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: <u>SAMPLE</u>	<i>Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.</i>	
Organization Name: <u>SAMPLE</u>		Renewal/Expansion Projects Threshold Review Complete
Project Type: <u>RRH</u>	<i>If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.</i>	0%
Project Identifier: <u>4</u>		

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
-------------------------------	---------------

For each threshold, select "Yes" if applicant has fulfilled the threshold requirement and is eligible to submit an application.

Yes to all

Stakeholders should NOT assume all requirements are fully addressed through this tool. CoC Program application requirements change periodically and annual NOFAs may provide more detailed guidance. The CoC collaborative applicant and project applicants should carefully review the annual NOFA criteria each year.

HUD THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information, and maintains an active SAM registration annually.
2. Applicant has Valid DUNS/TIN/EIN number in application.
3. CoC Program Eligibility – Project applicants and potential subrecipients meet the eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in the Act and the Rule and provide evidence of eligibility required in the application (e.g., nonprofit documentation).
4. Financial and Management Capacity: Project applicants and subrecipients demonstrate the financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in the project application and the capacity to administer federal funds.
5. Certifications - Project applicants submit the required certifications specified in the NOFO.
6. Population Served - The population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, the Rule, and the NOFO.
7. HMIS Participation - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers use a comparable database that captures the required HMIS data in addition to meeting the needs of the local HMIS.
8. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts – It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds unless.
 - a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or
 - b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD
9. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions – In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal government.
10. Pre-selection Review of Performance - If your organization has delinquent federal debt or is excluded from doing business with the Federal government, the organization may be ineligible for an award. In addition, before making a Federal award, HUD reviews information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), and the “Do Not Pay” website. HUD reserves the right to:
 - a) Deny funding, or with a renewal or continuing award, consider suspension or termination of an award immediately for cause;
 - b) Require the removal of any key individual from association with management or implementation of the award; and
 - c) Make provisions or revisions regarding the method of payment or financial reporting requirements

RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: SAMPLE
 Organization Name: SAMPLE
 Project Type: RRH
 Project Identifier: 4

Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.

If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.

Renewal/Expansion Projects
 Threshold Review Complete

0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS **YES/NO**

<p>11. Sufficiency of Financial Management System - HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets Federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302. HUD may arrange for a survey of financial management systems for applicants selected for award who have not previously received Federal financial assistance, where HUD Program officials have reason to question whether a financial management system meets Federal standards, or for applicants considered high risk based on past performance or financial management findings.</p>	
<p>12. False Statements - A false statement in an application is grounds for denial or termination of an award and may result in criminal, civil, and/or administrative sanctions, including fines, penalties, and imprisonment. Recipient or applicant confirms all statements are truthful.</p>	
<p>13. Mandatory Disclosure Requirement - Recipients or applicants disclose in writing to the awarding program office at HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award within ten days after learning of the violation. Recipients that have received a Federal award including the term and condition outlined in Appendix XII to 2 CFR part 200—Award Term and Condition for Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters are required to report certain civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings to SAM. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in § 200.338 Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. (See also 2 CFR part 180, 31 U.S.C. 3321, and S.C. 2313.)</p>	
<p>14. Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities - Applicants are subject to the provisions of Section 319 of Public Law 101-121, 31 U.S.C. 1352, (the Byrd Amendment), and 24 CFR part 87, which prohibit recipients of federal awards from using appropriated funds for lobbying the executive or legislative branches of the Federal government in connection with a Federal award. All applicants submit with their application the signed Certification Regarding Lobbying included in the Application download from Grants.gov. In addition, applicants disclose, using Standard Form LLL (SFLLL), "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," any funds, other than federally appropriated funds, that will be or have been used to influence federal employees, members of Congress, or congressional staff regarding specific awards. Federally-recognized Indian tribes and tribally designated housing entities (TDHEs) established by federally-recognized Indian tribes as a result of the exercise of the tribe's sovereign power are excluded from coverage of the Byrd Amendment, but state-recognized Indian tribes and TDHEs established only under state law shall comply with this requirement. Applicants submit the SFLLL if they have used or intend to use non-federal funds for lobbying activities.</p>	
<p>15. Equal Participation of Faith-Based Organizations in HUD Programs and Activities – Projects ensure that all projects meet the requirements under 24 CFR 5.109. On April 4, 2016, HUD amended 24 CFR 5.109 consistent with E.O. 13559, entitled Fundamental Principles and Policymaking Criteria for Partnerships with Faith-Based and Other Neighborhood Organizations (75 Fed. Reg. 71319 (Nov. 22, 2010)). (See 81 FR 19355). These regulations apply to all HUD programs and activities, including all of HUD's Native American Programs, except as may be otherwise provided in the respective program regulations, or unless inconsistent with the respective program authorizing statute.</p>	
<p>16. Resolution of Civil Rights Matters - Outstanding civil rights matters be resolved before the application submission deadline. Project applicants, who after review are confirmed to have civil rights matters unresolved at the application submission deadline, will be deemed ineligible. Their applications will receive no further review, will not be rated and ranked, and will not receive funding.</p>	

CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

For each requirement, select "Yes" if the project has provided reasonable assurances that the project will meet the requirement, has been given an exception by the CoC or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select "No".

Coordinated Entry Participation	
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	
Documented, secured minimum match	
Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally	
Project is financially feasible	
Applicant is active CoC participant	
Application is complete and data are consistent	
Data quality at or above 90%	

RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: SAMPLE
 Organization Name: SAMPLE
 Project Type: RRH
 Project Identifier: 4

Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.

If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.

Renewal/Expansion Projects
 Threshold Review Complete

0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%	<input type="checkbox"/>
Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	<input type="checkbox"/>