



**County of Chester
Office of the Controller
Internal Audit Department**

**Management Letter
For the Year Ended
December 31, 2010**

***Magisterial District Court
15-4-04***

Management Letter

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Valentino F. DiGiorgio III".

Valentino F. DiGiorgio, III, Controller

To: Magisterial District Judge Matthew Seavey

Introduction

On January 4, 2012, Internal Audit completed an audit of Magisterial District Court 15-4-04 (*District Court*). Christian J. Kriza was the auditor-in-charge. Internal Audit is required by County Code to review district courts annually to ensure compliance with policies and procedures mandated by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts and/or District Justice Administration and to assess their overall internal control structure. The scope of our audit included a review of the following:

- Cash and Petty Cash
- Undisbursed Funds
- Cash Receipts
- Manual Receipts
- Cash Disbursements
- Voided Transactions
- Overall Compliance

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* published by the Comptroller of the United States. We have also issued our Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters concurrent with this management letter. Disclosures and other information in that Report (also dated January 4, 2012) should be considered in conjunction with this management letter.

Executive Summary

The management and staff of the *District Court* are, in all material respects, in compliance with policies and procedures set forth by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts and directives imposed by District Justice Administration. Internal Audit noted no significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in the overall internal control structure.

Internal Audit did, however, note several matters of a lesser significance involving internal controls and compliance with policies and procedures. These matters have all been included within this management letter. Based on Internal Audit's testing and observations, it is our opinion that these deficiencies are not the result of negligence or deliberate misconduct, but are instead the consequence of one or more of the following:

- Oversight
- Human error

We want to thank the management and staff of the *District Court* for their cooperation and assistance during the course of this audit. We have provided herein a copy of our "Audit Findings and Recommendations" for your review and comment.

Please feel free to contact our office at (610) 344-5906 should you have any questions or concerns.

COUNTY OF CHESTER

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT 15-4-04

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

I. INTERNAL CONTROL FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

RECONCILIATION

Finding 1: Cash

Internal Audit noted the following:

In two (2) unrelated instances, the *District Court* processed \$50.00 cash adjustments without cause. Due to the fact that one adjustment was a debit and the other a credit, and offset each other, no further action is required.

Recommendation

Internal Audit recommends that the *District Court* adhere to AOPC system procedures to ensure that only necessary cash adjustments are processed.

Auditee Response

District Court management concurs with the finding and recommendation.

II. COMPLIANCE WITH POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

In order to test compliance with all applicable policies and procedures, Internal Audit selected a statistical sample of 20 case files out of a total population of 4,876 case files created in 2010. In addition, we performed other related tests of cash receipts, cash disbursements, manual receipts, undisbursed funds, and voided transactions. Sample size for the tested areas was determined through a risk assessment analysis based on the results of the *District Court's* prior year audit and current year caseload. We believe the results of our tests are representative of the entire population on the basis of accepted audit sampling principles.

Finding 1: Manual Receipts

Internal Audit noted the following:

- In five (5) instances, the manual receipt number was not entered on the corresponding system receipt.
- In one (1) instance, a copy of the manual receipt was not included in the case file.

COUNTY OF CHESTER

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT 15-4-04

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

II. COMPLIANCE WITH POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

Finding 1: Manual Receipts (continued)

Recommendation

Internal Audit recommends that the *District Court* exercise greater care to ensure complete information is entered into the computer system and the receipt copy is included in the corresponding case file.

Auditee Response

District Court management concurs with the finding and recommendation.

Finding 2: Voided Receipts

Internal Audit noted the following:

In one (1) instance, the receipt located in the case file was not marked “void”.

Recommendation

Internal Audit recommends that *District Court* management exercise greater care to ensure all voided receipts are labeled as such.

Auditee Response

Finding 3: Voided Disbursements

Internal Audit noted the following:

- In twenty-six (26) instances, a check was not voided in a timely manner.
- In four (4) instances, a due diligence letter was not issued to the payee prior to escheating the check.

COUNTY OF CHESTER

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT 15-4-04

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

II. COMPLIANCE WITH POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

Finding 3: Voided Disbursements (continued)

Recommendation

Internal Audit recommends that *District Court* management exercise greater care to ensure outstanding checks are voided after 120 days and a due diligence letter is issued to the payee prior to escheatment.

Auditee Response

District Court management concurs with the finding and recommendation.

Finding 4: Compliance Testing

Internal Audit noted the following:

- In one (1) instance, a non-criminal warrant was not recalled within 60 days of being issued.
- In one (1) instance, the *District Court* did not issue a DL-38 after a failed response from the defendant.

Recommendation

Internal Audit recommends that the *District Court* monitor open cases with greater detail to ensure proper notifications and paperwork are complete.

Auditee Response

District Court management concurs with the finding and recommendation.

COUNTY OF CHESTER

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT 15-4-04

SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

Magisterial District Judge Matthew Seavey indicated through a written confirmation, that an exit conference would be waived for this year. The Magisterial District Judge has accepted the audit report and management letter as presented.