



**County of Chester  
Office of the Controller  
Internal Audit Department**

**Management Letter  
For the Year Ended  
December 31, 2014**

---

*County of Chester*  
*Magisterial District Court*  
*15-1-02*

Management Letter

---

---

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "N MacQueen".

---

Norman MacQueen, Controller

**To: Magisterial District Judge Thomas Tartaglio**

**Introduction**

On May 12, 2015, Internal Audit completed an audit of Magisterial District Court 15-1-02 (*District Court*). Christian J Kriza was the auditor-in-charge. Internal Audit is required by County Code to review district courts annually to ensure compliance with policies and procedures mandated by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts and/or District Justice Administration and to assess their overall internal control structure. The scope of our audit included a review of the following:

- Cash and Petty Cash
- Undisbursed Funds
- Cash Receipts
- Manual Receipts
- Cash Disbursements
- Voided Transactions
- Escheats/Stale Dated Checks

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* published by the Comptroller of the United States. We have also issued our Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters concurrent with this management letter. Disclosures and other information in that Report (also dated May 12, 2015) should be considered in conjunction with this Management Letter.

**Executive Summary**

The management and staff of the *District Court* are, in all material respects, in compliance with policies and procedures set forth by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts and directives imposed by District Justice Administration. Internal Audit noted no significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in the overall internal control structure.

Internal Audit did, however, note several matters of a lesser significance involving internal controls and compliance with policies and procedures. These matters have all been included within this management letter. Based on Internal Audit's testing and observations, it is our opinion that these deficiencies are not the result of negligence or deliberate misconduct, but are instead the consequence of one or more of the following:

- Oversight
- Human error

We want to thank the management and staff of the *District Court* for their cooperation and assistance during the course of this audit. We have provided herein a copy of our "Audit Findings and Recommendations" for your review and comment.

Please feel free to contact our office at (610) 344-5906 should you have any questions or concerns.

**COUNTY OF CHESTER**  
**MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT 15-1-02**  
**SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**  
**FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

**Cash and Petty Cash**

Cash is reconciled and cash adjustments are reviewed at 100%. Petty cash is counted during the course of the on-site fieldwork.

Finding 1: Cash Adjustments

Cash adjustment errors reported in 2012 were still unresolved in 2014. The *District Court* did attempt to correct these errors, but they remain incorrect at the time of this report.

Finding 2: Cash Adjustments

In two (2) instances, the *District Court* failed to record monthly bank interest on the books.

Finding 3: Cash Adjustments

In one (1) instance, the *District Court* failed to offset a cash adjustment, and it remained outstanding at year end.

Recommendation

Internal Audit recommends that the *District Court* review all debit and credit adjustments during the year to ensure that they have corresponding offsetting entries. It is further recommended that *District Court* management work with District Justice Administration to resolve the existing out of balance condition on the books.

Auditee Response

*District Court* management concurs with the finding and recommendation.

***For the following areas, transactions are reviewed on a sample basis according to risk. The current year risk assessment is based on prior year audit results.***

**Undisbursed Funds**

The sample size tested for the year ended 12/31/14 was determined to be 10; however, there was only a total population of 5 cases which were greater than or equal to 90 days.

There were no internal control or procedural weaknesses noted within our sample.

**COUNTY OF CHESTER**

**MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT 15-1-02**

**SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

**FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

**Cash Receipts**

The sample size tested for the year ended 12/31/14 was determined to be 20 out of a population of 9,671 receipts issued during the year.

There were no internal control or procedural weaknesses noted within our sample.

**Manual Receipts**

There were no manual receipts used in 2014.

**Cash Disbursements**

The sample size tested for the year ended 12/31/14 was determined to be 20 out of a population of 984 checks issued during the year.

There were no internal control or procedural weaknesses noted within our sample.

**Voided Receipts**

The sample size tested for the year ended 12/31/14 was determined to be 20 out of a population of 94 receipts voided during the year.

There were no internal control or procedural weaknesses noted within our sample.

**Voided Disbursements**

The sample size tested for the year ended 12/31/14 was determined to be 15 out of a population of 61 disbursements voided during the year.

There were no internal control or procedural weaknesses noted within our sample.

**COUNTY OF CHESTER**  
**MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT 15-1-02**  
**SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**  
**FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

**Escheat/Stale Dated Checks**

Escheats were reviewed at 100% for timeliness. In addition, 10 out of a population of 43 escheated items were tested for compliance with escheat/stale dated check procedures.

Finding 4: Escheats

Internal Audit noted that in fourteen (14) instances, a check was not escheated in a timely manner.

Finding 5: Escheats

In two (2) instances, Internal Audit was unable to locate evidence that the required due diligence was performed prior to escheatment.

Recommendation

Internal Audit recommends that the *District Court* staff adhere to the stale-dated check procedures as outlined in the District Judge Automated Office Clerical Procedures Manual.

Auditee Response

*District Court management concurs with the finding and recommendation.*

**COUNTY OF CHESTER**  
**MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT COURT 15-1-02**  
**SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE**  
**FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

An exit conference was not warranted for the audit of Magisterial District Court 15-1-02. Magisterial District Judge Thomas Tartaglio chose to accept the report as presented.